IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 2000 AUGUSTA DIVISION AUGUSTA DIVISION

GENE L. WILLIAMS,)	740
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	CASE NO. CV106-37
LOGAN MARSHALL, Sheriff, et al.,)	
Defendants.)))	

ORDER

Before the Court are Defendants' Bill of Costs (Doc. 55) and Plaintiff's Objection (Doc. 56). As taxable costs, Defendants claim \$843.42 for court fees.

Plaintiff, an inmate currently incarcerated at Wheeler Correctional Facility, brought this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for denial of medical care while he was incarcerated at McDuffie County Jail. Plaintiff alleged that he suffered a heart attack because Defendants did not provide him with insulin. An independent medical doctor wrote a letter stating that the medical care provided to Plaintiff was "unreasonable." (Doc. 30 at 6-7.) On March 17, 2008, this Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on

procedural grounds, holding that Plaintiff had failed to exhaust his administrative remedies.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(1) provides that costs "should be allowed to the prevailing party." While there is a general presumption that a district court should award prevailing party costs, it is within the sound discretion of the District Courts to decline to award costs. Chapman v. AI Transp., 229 F.3d 1012, 1038 (11th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (citing Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August, 450 U.S. 346, 351 (1981)). In determining whether to award costs, the Court may consider factors such as the non-prevailing party's documented inability to pay. Id. at 1039.

After careful consideration of the circumstances in this case, the Court finds that costs should not be awarded. First, Plaintiff filed this case in forma pauperis and has, therefore, provided documentation of his financial inability to pay. Second, Plaintiff's Complaint was neither frivolous nor made in bad faith. To the contrary, Plaintiff presented evidence that he received woefully inadequate medical treatment. Third, Defendants obtained summary judgment based on procedural grounds, not based on the

relative merits of Plaintiff's case. Accordingly, Defendants' Bill of Costs is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED this 29th day of August, 2008.

WILLIAM T. MOORE, JR., CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA